

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

	1				
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,867	08/06/2003	Susumu Kashiwase	848075-0053	8336	
29619 SCHULTE RO	7590 03/03/200 OTH & ZABEL LLP	EXAMINER			
ATTN: JOEL	ATTN: JOEL E. LUTZKER 919 THIRD AVENUE			NGO, NGUYEN HOANG	
NEW YORK.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2416		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/635,867	KASHIWASE, SUSUMU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NGUYEN NGO	2416		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	S COMMUNICATION.
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applic Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailling date of this corr earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	cation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 20	<u>08</u> .
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is no	on-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except f	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requ	uirement.
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) [	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is require	d if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> </ol>	received.
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li></ol>	received in Application No
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	nts have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	. ,,
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifi	ed copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

0.5	Pat	ent	ans	ı irad	emark	UITIO
DT	$\alpha$	200	20	(Day)	0.0	(20

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/635,867 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

This communication is in response to the amendment of 12/12/2008. All changes made to the Claims have been entered. Accordingly, Claims 1-61 are currently pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-21, 28-44, 45-52, drawn to a system having a base station and wireless communication terminals, in which the base station has an allocation information allocating section and an allocation information storage section, classified in class 370, subclass 329.
  - II. Claims 22-27, 53-61 drawn to a wireless communication terminal in which judges a destination of a communication packet transmitted from said base station based upon said allocation information contained in a header of said transmitted packet, classified in class 370, subclass 349.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 2416

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system of I does not require the specific function of the wireless communication terminal as defined in group II. The subcombination of the wireless communication terminal has separate utility such as wherein said wireless communication terminal judges a destination of a communication packet transmitted from said base station based upon said allocation information contained in a header of said transmitted packet so as to be communicated with said base station. These specific characteristics set forth in the subcombination of the wireless communication terminal, are not set forth in the combination claim of the system. Since claims to both the subcombination and combination are presented, the omission of details of the claimed subcombination of the wireless communication terminal in the combination of the system is evidence that the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombinations.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/635,867

Art Unit: 2416

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,867 Page 5

Art Unit: 2416

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NGUYEN NGO whose telephone number is (571)272-8398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on (571)272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kwang B. Yao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

Nguyen Ngo United States Patent & Trademark Office Patent Examiner AU 2614 (571) 272-8398 /N. N./

Examiner, Art Unit 2416

Application/Control Number: 10/635,867 Page 6

Art Unit: 2416